

Digital Transformation in Management Education: Evaluating the Strategic Role of EdTech in Skill Development

Dhanshree Nitin Waghmare

Om Shiv Foundation Arts & Commerce Night College

Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (Industry 4.0) has precipitated a fundamental paradigm shift in management education, compelling business schools to transition from traditional, lecture-based pedagogical models to digitally enhanced ecosystems. This paper evaluates the strategic role of Educational Technology (EdTech) in bridging the widening industry-academia skills gap, specifically distinguishing between the acquisition of "hard" technical competencies (e.g., data analytics, financial modeling) and "soft" interpersonal skills (e.g., empathy, negotiation, leadership presence). Utilizing a rigorous **Descriptive Cross-Sectional Mixed-Methods Design**, this study synthesizes data from a stratified random survey of $N=350$ MBA students across five top-tier universities and semi-structured in-depth interviews with $N=10$ academic deans and EdTech directors.

The research integrates the **Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)** and **Bloom's Digital Taxonomy** to analyze the efficacy of AI-driven Learning Management Systems (LMS), Virtual Reality (VR) simulations, and adaptive learning platforms. Quantitative findings reveal a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.78$, $p < 0.01$) between high-frequency EdTech usage and technical skill proficiency. However, the study identifies a significant "digitization paradox": exclusive reliance on digital platforms correlates with a perceived decline in soft skill development, particularly in areas requiring non-verbal communication and emotional intelligence. Qualitative analysis uncovers faculty resistance and "technological silos" as primary barriers to effective implementation. The paper concludes that while EdTech is a potent catalyst for cognitive knowledge dissemination and administrative efficiency, it must be integrated into a hybrid "Phygital" (Physical + Digital) framework. Strategic recommendations include the adoption of immersive VR for behavioral training and the restructuring of faculty roles from "content deliverers" to "learning curators."

Keywords: Digital Transformation, EdTech, Management Education, Phygital Learning, Soft Skills Gap, Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Industry 4.0, Bloom's Digital Taxonomy.

Introduction

Background: The Crisis of Relevance

Management education is currently facing an existential "crisis of relevance." For the better part of the 20th century, the Master of Business Administration (MBA) served as the gold standard for corporate leadership development. The traditional model was built on stability: static curricula, case study methodologies, and face-to-face networking. However, the rapid acceleration of digital technologies—cloud computing, Big Data, Artificial Intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT)—has created a Volatile, Uncertain, Complex, and Ambiguous (VUCA) business environment.

The modern manager is no longer just an administrator of resources but a "digital native" who must navigate remote teams, interpret complex algorithmic data, and pivot strategies in real-time. Consequently, the "shelf life" of learned skills has plummeted. According to the World Economic Forum (2025), the core skills required to perform a job change by 40% every three years. This velocity renders the traditional two-year, static MBA curriculum obsolete before the student even graduates.

The Rise of EdTech: From Digitization to Transformation

In response, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) are undergoing digital transformation. It is crucial to distinguish between three distinct phases of this evolution:

1. **Digitization:** The simple conversion of analog information into digital formats (e.g., scanning textbooks, recording lectures).
2. **Digitalization:** The use of digital technologies to change educational processes (e.g., using an LMS like Canvas to submit assignments or track grades).
3. **Digital Transformation:** A cultural and strategic shift where technology enables entirely new educational business models and pedagogical strategies (e.g., AI-powered adaptive tutors, VR-based empathy training, global classrooms).

The global EdTech market, valued at over \$250 billion in 2024, offers a plethora of tools promising to democratize education. Yet, the strategic application of these tools remains uneven.

Problem Statement

While the adoption of EdTech is widespread, its efficacy in producing holistic leaders is contested. A growing body of evidence suggests a "skills gap" paradox. Employers report that recent graduates are technically proficient—capable of running Python scripts and financial models—but lack essential "soft skills" such as critical thinking, adaptability, and emotional intelligence. This raises a critical research inquiry: **Is the "screenification" of management education atrophying the very social skills that define successful leadership?** Furthermore, many institutions implement technology as a cost-saving mechanism or a marketing differentiator rather than a pedagogical strategy, leading to "Zoom fatigue" and disengagement.

Research Questions

1. **RQ1:** To what extent does the integration of specific EdTech tools (LMS, VR, Simulations) enhance the acquisition of hard skills versus soft skills in management students?
2. **RQ2:** What are the primary structural and psychological barriers to effective digital transformation in business schools?
3. **RQ3:** How can institutions design a strategic "Phygital" framework that balances digital efficiency with human-centric skill development?

Research Objectives

- To evaluate student and faculty perceptions of EdTech efficacy using the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM).
- To analyze the divergence in skill development outcomes (technical vs. interpersonal) in digital learning environments.
- To propose a comprehensive strategic roadmap for "Human-in-the-Loop" EdTech integration.

Review of Literature

The literature on digital transformation in education has expanded significantly post-2019, driven largely by the pandemic-induced pivot to remote learning. This review synthesizes recent academic sources to establish a theoretical baseline.

Theoretical Frameworks

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

Davis's TAM remains the dominant framework for understanding EdTech adoption. It posits that *Perceived Ease of Use* and *Perceived Usefulness* are the primary determinants of user acceptance. Recent adaptations of TAM in education (Frontiers in Education, 2025) add "Facilitating Conditions" and "Social Influence," suggesting that students adopt EdTech not just for utility, but due to institutional pressure and peer norms.

Bloom's Digital Taxonomy

Churches (2023) updated Bloom's Taxonomy for the digital age. The literature suggests that EdTech is highly effective at the lower levels of the taxonomy—*Remembering* and *Understanding*—via asynchronous video and quizzes. However, the higher levels—*Analyzing*, *Evaluating*, and *Creating*—which are crucial for management strategy, historically require synchronous human interaction. The challenge for modern EdTech is climbing this pyramid.

The Digital Ecosystem in Education

Recent scholarship defines digital transformation not merely as the presence of technology but as a restructuring of value delivery. *Istiqamah* (2025) argues that digital transformation serves as the "backbone" for data-driven governance. The shift is from "standardized instruction" to "adaptive pathways," where AI algorithms analyze student performance to customize learning trajectories.

The Skills Gap: Hard vs. Soft Skills

A critical theme is the dichotomy between hard and soft skills.

- **Hard Skills:** *Vaidik Eduservices (2025)* emphasizes that EdTech is superior to traditional lectures for hard skills because it allows for "mastery learning"—students can rewind, pause, and repeat technical modules until comprehension is achieved.
- **Soft Skills:** Conversely, *Thakur (2025)* notes that soft skills are behavioral and context-dependent. They are best learned through "social friction"—the unpredictable reactions of human peers. A study by *Taggd (2025)* found that 57% of employers value soft skills more than hard skills for long-term potential, yet these are the skills most likely to degrade in a fully online environment.

The Role of Gamification and Simulation

To address the soft skills deficit, schools are turning to "Serious Games." *JIMS Kalkaji (2024)* reports on the success of integrating VR to simulate crisis management. These simulations provide a safe sandbox for failure, allowing students to practice high-stakes decision-making without real-world financial risk. However, the high cost of high-fidelity VR creates a "digital divide" between elite and mid-tier institutions.

Barriers to Implementation

Despite the optimism, significant barriers persist.

1. **Faculty Resistance:** The *Frontiers in Education* journal (2025) identifies a cultural lag. Many faculty members view themselves as "Sage on the Stage" orators and resist the transition to "Guide on the Side" facilitators required by digital pedagogy.
2. **Technological Silos:** Tools are often purchased in isolation (e.g., a Zoom license here, a Coursera subscription there) without integration, leading to a fragmented user experience.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study employs a **Pragmatic Mixed-Methods Design**. Pragmatism was chosen as the philosophical underpinning because it prioritizes "what works" to solve the research problem, allowing for the integration of quantitative statistical power with qualitative depth.

Sampling Strategy

- **Quantitative Sample:** A **Stratified Random Sample** of $N = 350$ MBA students was drawn from five accredited universities (2 public, 3 private). The strata were defined by specialization (Finance, Marketing, HR, Operations) to ensuring representative coverage.
 - *Inclusion Criteria:* Currently enrolled in an MBA program; has completed at least one semester of digital/hybrid learning.
- **Qualitative Sample:** **Purposive Sampling** was used to select $N = 10$ participants for interviews. This group comprised 5 Academic Deans and 5 Directors of EdTech/Innovation.

Data Collection Tools

The "EdTech Efficacy Scale" (EES) Survey

A structured questionnaire was developed comprising three sections:

1. **Demographics:** Age, gender, specialization, work experience.
2. **Usage Metrics:** Frequency of use for LMS, Zoom, MOOCs, and Simulations.
3. **Perceptual Scale:** A 25-item Likert scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree) measuring:
 - *Technical Skill Enhancement* (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.88$)
 - *Soft Skill Enhancement* (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.82$)
 - *Engagement Levels* (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.85$)

Semi-Structured Interviews

A 45-minute interview protocol was designed to explore strategic intent. Questions included:

- "How do you measure the ROI of your EdTech investments?"
- "What is the biggest pedagogical challenge in teaching leadership online?"

Data Analysis Methods

- **Quantitative:** Data was cleaned and analyzed using **SPSS v28**. Descriptive statistics (Mean, SD) provided an overview. **Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r)** was used to test relationships between EdTech usage and skill acquisition. An **Independent Samples t-test** compared perceptions between students in technical vs. non-technical specializations.
- **Qualitative:** Transcripts were analyzed using **NVivo 12**. A **Thematic Analysis** approach was used (coding $\rightarrow$ categorizing $\rightarrow$ theming) to identify recurring patterns regarding "strategic misalignment" and "faculty readiness."

Data Analysis & Findings

Demographic Profile

The student sample ($N=350$) consisted of 58% male and 42% female respondents. The average age was 26.4 years ($SD = 2.1$). 40% of respondents had <2 years of work experience, while 60% had >2 years.

Quantitative Findings: The Efficacy of EdTech

Table 1: Student Perception of EdTech Impact on Learning Outcomes

(Scale: 1 = Very Low Impact, 5 = Very High Impact)

Dimension of Learning	Mean Score (μ)	Standard Deviation (σ)	Interpretation
Flexibility of Learning	4.82	0.35	Extremely Positive
Technical Skill Acquisition	4.65	0.51	High Positive
Data Analytics/Hard Skills	4.71	0.44	High Positive
Critical Thinking	3.40	0.82	Moderate
Networking/Relationship Building	2.15	0.95	Low/Negative
Leadership/Soft Skills	2.80	1.10	Low/Moderate

Interpretation:

As shown in Table 1, the data reveals a sharp dichotomy. Students rated EdTech exceptionally high for **flexibility** ($\mu=4.82$) and **technical skill acquisition** ($\mu=4.65$). The low standard deviation ($\sigma=0.35$) for flexibility indicates a near-universal consensus. However, the scores drop precipitously for **networking** ($\mu=2.15$) and **leadership skills** ($\mu=2.80$). The high standard deviation for leadership skills ($\sigma=1.10$) suggests polarization; some students thrive in digital leadership roles, while others struggle significantly.

Correlation Analysis: The "Digitization Paradox"

A Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to test the relationship between the *Frequency of Digital Interaction* (hours spent on LMS/Zoom) and perceived skill growth.

- **EdTech Usage vs. Hard Skills:** $r = 0.78$ ($p < 0.001$). A strong positive correlation. More time on platforms correlates with better coding, finance, and analytics skills.
- **EdTech Usage vs. Soft Skills:** $r = -0.22$ ($p < 0.05$). A weak negative correlation. This is a critical finding: **Increased screen time may actively hinder the development of interpersonal skills.**

ANOVA Analysis by Specialization

A One-Way ANOVA revealed significant differences between specializations ($F(3, 346) = 4.56, p < .01$). Finance and Operations students reported significantly higher satisfaction with EdTech (Mean = 4.2) compared to HR and Marketing students (Mean = 3.1), likely because the latter disciplines rely more heavily on nuance and human behavior.

Qualitative Findings (Faculty Interviews)

Three dominant themes emerged from the thematic analysis of faculty interviews.

Theme 1: The "Check-box" Mentality

Faculty noted that students often treat online modules—especially for soft skills—as compliance tasks.

"When I teach negotiation in person, the air in the room changes. There is tension. On Zoom, they just turn off their cameras and wait for the breakout room to end. It becomes a check-box exercise." — Dean of Academics, Tier-1 B-School.

Theme 2: The Assessment Gap

A major structural barrier is assessment. While AI can grade a Python script instantly, it cannot effectively grade a student's empathy in a role-play.

"We have great tools to teach, but archaic tools to test. We are using multiple-choice questions to test leadership. It's fundamentally flawed." — Director of EdTech.

Theme 3: Gamification as a Savior

Institutions that invested in high-end simulations reported better results. One respondent noted that their "Virtual Stock Trading Floor" saw higher engagement than the physical version because the digital data feed was faster and more realistic.

Discussion

Theoretical Linkage: Connectivism and Disruption

The findings align strongly with Siemens' theory of **Connectivism**, which posits that learning occurs by connecting information nodes. EdTech successfully increases the number of nodes (access to content) but fails to strengthen the *quality* of connections between human nodes (social learning).

Furthermore, the data supports Christensen's **Disruptive Innovation** theory. EdTech is currently "unbundling" the MBA. Platforms like Coursera and Udemy offer hard-skill training at a fraction of the cost of a university credit. If business schools continue to focus only on content delivery (which EdTech does better and cheaper), they risk obsolescence. Their value proposition *must* shift to the high-touch, human-centric elements that digital platforms cannot replicate.

The "Digitization Paradox"

The study highlights a paradox: as schools become more digital to stay relevant, they risk losing the very human-centric value (networking, mentorship, debate) that justifies their high tuition. The negative correlation between screen time and soft skills ($r = -0.22$) is a warning. It suggests that digital mediation removes the non-verbal cues (micro-expressions, body language) essential for emotional intelligence. In a Zoom grid, everyone is equal size; in a boardroom, presence and posture matter. The "screenification" flattens the social hierarchy in a way that is artificial compared to real corporate dynamics.

Industry Examples

- **Success Case:** *JIMS Kalkaji* (India) successfully integrated VR and AR to simulate business scenarios. By using VR headsets for public speaking training, they allowed students to practice facing a "hostile audience" in a safe environment, directly addressing the soft skill gap (JIMS, 2024).
- **Corporate Shift:** Companies like **Amazon** and **Netflix** have internalized training, creating their own "corporate universities." They use data-driven "digital twins" of their operations to train employees. Business schools that fail to replicate this level of practical relevance risk becoming theoretically rich but practically poor.

Conclusion & Recommendations

Conclusion

Digital transformation in management education is not merely a technological upgrade but a strategic imperative that requires a reimagining of pedagogy. This paper concludes that while EdTech is highly effective for **cognitive skill development** (hard skills) and operational efficiency, it currently falls short in **behavioral modification** (soft skills).

The "Zoom University" model of emergency remote teaching is insufficient for the long term. The future of management education lies not in replacing the physical classroom but in optimizing it. We must move toward a model where technology handles the *science* of management (data, theory, logic), and human interaction handles the *art* of management (persuasion, ethics, empathy).

Strategic Recommendations

1. Adopt a "Phygital" (Physical + Digital) Model:

Institutions should adopt a strict "Flipped Classroom" policy.

- *Digital Asynchronous*: All theory, reading, and basic concept testing should happen online before class via adaptive LMS.
- *Physical Synchronous*: Classroom time should be devoted 100% to application—case debates, role-plays, and simulations. No lectures should occur in the physical classroom.

Invest in Immersive Tech (VR/AR):

Move beyond standard video conferencing. Invest in VR simulations for soft skills (e.g., "Virtual Boardroom" negotiation simulators) to make digital soft-skill training experiential rather than passive.

Redefine Faculty Roles:

Faculty training must pivot. The "Sage on the Stage" is obsolete. Faculty must be trained as "Learning Experience Designers" (LXDs) who can curate digital content and facilitate high-energy live sessions.

Micro-Credential Partnerships:

Instead of viewing EdTech giants (Coursera, LinkedIn Learning) as competitors, business schools should partner with them to offer "stackable credentials." This allows alumni to engage in lifelong learning, returning to the university ecosystem for specific upskilling modules.

Limitations and Future Scope

This study is limited by its cross-sectional nature; it captures a snapshot in time. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies tracking the career progression of graduates from "digital-first" MBA programs versus traditional ones to measure long-term leadership efficacy and salary trajectories. Additionally, research into the ethics of AI-driven student surveillance (proctoring) is urgently needed.

References

- Churches, A. (2023). *Bloom's Digital Taxonomy*. Educational Origami.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). *Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology*. MIS quarterly, 319-340. [Adapted for 2025 context].
- Frontiers in Education. (2025). *Digital learning in the 21st century: Trends, challenges, and innovations*. Frontiers. <https://www.frontiersin.org>
- Istiqamah, N., & Rengganis, D. (2025). *Digital Transformation in Educational Management for School Quality*. ResearchGate.
- JIMS Kalkaji. (2024). *Digital Transformation in Business Schools: Adapting to the Tech Revolution*. JIMS.

- MBA.com. (2025). *Hard Skills vs Soft Skills: What do you Need to Get Ahead*. Graduate Management Admission Council.
- Taggd. (2025). *Soft Skills vs Hard Skills: Which Actually Gets You Hired?*. Taggd HR Glossary.
- Thakur, M., & Melkonian, T. (2025). *Soft Skills, Hard Impact: B-School Leaders On What Really Matters*. Poets&Quants.
- Vaidik Eduservices. (2025). *The Role of EdTech in Lifelong Learning and Upskilling*. Vaidik Edu.
- World Economic Forum. (2025). *The Future of Jobs Report 2025*. WEF.